

Comparison of In-Vitro Maturation and In-Vitro Fertilization in Infertile Females with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome

Mina Jafarabadi,¹ Fatemeh Ramezanzadeh,¹ Samarand Salimi,² and Tahereh Forooghifar^{1,*}

¹Reproductive Health Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran Iran

²Psychiatry Department, Baharloo Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding author: Tahereh Forooghifar, MD, Reproductive Health Research Center, Emam Hospital, Keshavarz Blvd, Tehran, 14194, Iran. Tel: +98-2166939320, Fax: +98-2166581658, E-mail: forooghifar.f@gmail.com

Received 2016 November 09; Revised 2016 December 31; Accepted 2017 February 06.

Abstract

Background: Both in-vitro maturation and in-vitro fertilization have been used successfully to treat females with polycystic ovarian syndrome, who plan to have child. This study compared outcome of these two approaches to fertilize females with polycystic ovary side effects.

Methods: This prospective study was conducted at Vali-Asr reproductive health research center and included all females with polycystic ovarian syndrome, who underwent in-vitro maturation or in-vitro fertilization from January 2005 to January 2008. Measurements included demographic data, total cost (including drug and laboratory procedures), outcome (chemical and clinical pregnancy), and systemic complication (ovarian hyper-stimulation syndrome), obtained from the patients' clinical files. Patients were informed about the procedures, side effects and goals, and the signed consent form for surgical approaches and use of their data in medical research. Finally, these variables were compared between the two groups.

Results: The in-vitro maturation and in-vitro fertilization groups included 20 and 22 patients, respectively. The range of body mass index was between 17.4 and 28.3 kg/m² and the mean age of the patients was 29.35 ± 4.94 and 28.95 ± 3.84 years, respectively ($P > 0.05$). The total cost was significantly lower in in-vitro maturation group compared to in-vitro fertilization (201.6 ± 60.1 USD versus 380.5 ± 143.8 USD, respectively, $P < 0.001$). Positive outcomes were achieved significantly more frequently with the in-vitro fertilization method (1 chemical and no clinical pregnancy in in-vitro maturation versus 7 and 6 in in-vitro fertilization group, respectively, $P < 0.001$). Although, the rate of ovarian hyper-stimulation syndrome was higher in in-vitro fertilization than in in-vitro maturation approach, yet, it was not statistically significant ($P = 0.233$).

Conclusions: Our findings showed the superiority of execution of the in-vitro fertilization approach compared with the in-vitro maturation method in infertile females with polycystic ovary syndrome, who planned to have a child. However, in-vitro maturation approach is cheaper than in-vitro fertilization and is also associated with lower risk of ovarian hyper-stimulation syndrome.

Keywords: Polycystic Ovary Syndrome, Infertility, In-Vitro Fertilization

1. Background

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is one of the most frequent endocrine disorders that affect 5% to 10% of females at the reproductive age (1). It is also the most common cause of hyperandrogenism and oligo-anovulation (2, 3).

Anovulatory cycles may lead to dysfunctional uterine bleeding and decreased fertility (3). Thus, females with PCOS often have difficulty becoming pregnant, which necessitate the use of different fertilizer approaches (4). In-Vitro Fertilization (IVF) is one of the anticipatory successful methods for females with PCOS, who have anovulatory cycles when a further infertility causing factor is not involved or when other non-invasive methods of ovulation induction have failed (5). However, IVF also increases the risk of ovarian hyper-stimulation (6). Females with PCOS are very sensitive to ovarian stimulation, and severe ovarian hyper-

stimulation syndrome (OHSS) more frequently occurs in this population (7). In-Vitro maturation (IVM) of oocytes was primarily developed in order to make a simpler and safer approach than IVF for females with PCOS or those at higher risk of OHSS (8). IVM permits the use of immature oocytes in the procedure. As in IVM, stimulation of ovaries is not required, it is highly recommended for patients, who are at risk of OHSS (7). The first successful pregnancy using IVM was reported by Cha et al. in 1991 (9), which was followed by subsequent reports (10, 11). Based on our search, current studies that specifically compare the outcome of IVF and IVM in females with PCOS and infertility are not adequate. In this article, we aimed at comparing the outcome of IVF and IVM approaches among females with PCOS, planning to become pregnant at our research center.

2. Methods

This prospective study was carried out at the Vali-Asr reproductive health research center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, and investigated all infertile females with PCOS, who had undergone IVF or IVM from January 2005 to January 2008. All data were obtained from the patients' clinical reports. The patients were primarily informed about the procedures, side effects and goals, and signed a consent form for surgical manipulations and use of their data in medical research. Polycystic ovary syndrome was defined according to the Rotterdam consensus criteria and was diagnosed if at least 2 of the following 3 features were present: 1- oligo / amenorrhea, 2- clinical or biochemical signs of androgen excess, and 3- polycystic ovary in ultrasonographic findings (4, 12). In order to stimulate ovaries releasing ova, 150 units of Gonal-f (Sereno, Switzerland) were used daily. Trans-vaginal ultrasound guided oocyte collection was carried out when more than 80% of follicles were measured 9 to 14 mm in diameter. Products were aspirated at a pressure of 180 mmHg and follicular flushing was not performed. All of collected oocytes were filtered through a cell strainer with a 70-mm sized mesh. The Medicult medium (MediCult, IVM System, Denmark) was used for the oocyte culturing procedure by default. The cumulus oocyte complexes were cultured at 37°C in 6% CO₂ within a highly humid environment. After 24 hours, monitoring of the oocyte maturation was started under an inverted microscope and repeated every 6 to 8 hours within the next 48 hours. Only the oocytes with an extrusion of the first polar body were considered mature and then were separated of cumulus cells for preparation of intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). A single spermatozoon was injected in each metaphase II oocyte. After ICSI, each fertilized oocyte was transferred to its special cell cleavage medium. The fertilization process was assessed 16 to 18 hours after ICSI by the appearance of two distinct pro-nuclei and two polar bodies. Normal embryos were selected and subjected to laser-assisted hatching. These embryos were transferred to the uterine cavity on the second or third day after ICSI (4). To make endometrial preparation, rectal or vaginal progesterone suppositories (400 mg twice a day) were used accompanied with estradiol-valerate (6 mg/d), which was started after oocyte aspiration. Endometrium quality and thickness were recorded on day of embryo transfer (ET), utilizing the trans-vaginal ultrasound technique. Clinical pregnancy was defined as presence of a visible fetal sac in trans-vaginal ultrasonography at least 4 weeks after ET. Chemical pregnancy was also detected by positive serum level of β -hCG, at least two weeks after ET. All patients' records were reviewed and required data including demographics, total cost (includ-

ing drug and laboratory procedures), outcome (chemical and clinical pregnancy), systemic complications (OHSS), anatomical view, and thickness of the endometrium at the time of ET and zygote division quality were obtained from the participants' medical file.

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS software, version 13 (IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA). All quantitative data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Fisher exact test and Mann-Whitney U test were conducted to analyze respective and quantitative data. P values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

The IVF and IVM groups included 22 and 20 patients, respectively. Mean age of the patients among the IVM and IVF group was respectively 29.35 ± 4.94 and 28.95 ± 3.84 years ($P > 0.05$); no case was above 39 years old. Mean number of used Gonal-f ampoules was 14.1 ± 4.2 and 25.3 ± 8.6 in IVM and IVF groups, respectively, with a statistically significant difference ($P < 0.001$). Total cost was significantly lower in IVM group than in IVF (201.63 ± 6011 USD versus 380.59 ± 143.89 USD, ($P < 0.001$). Positive outcomes were achieved significantly more frequently with the IVF method (1 chemical and no clinical pregnancy in IVM versus 7 and 6 in IVF group, respectively) ($P < 0.001$). Although the rate of OHSS was also higher in the IVF group compared to IVM, yet, it was not statistically significant ($P = 0.233$). Main outcome measurements are summarized in [Table 1](#).

4. Discussion

Our findings showed superiority of execution of IVF approach compared with the IVM method in infertile females with PCOS, who planned to have a child. However, the IVM approach is cheaper than IVF and is also associated with lower risk of OHSS. Although IVM can help females with reproductive disorders such as PCOS to achieve pregnancy, yet, the rate of pregnancy using IVM/IVF approaches is still low in PCOS, which may be due to poor maturation of oocytes and lack of synchronization with implantation window (13). Using the IVM method seems to be more economical, simple, and less stressful for females with shorter treatment cycles, and there is also no request for administration of ova stimulatory drugs and no risk of OHSS. The puncture procedure is simple and safe and it can improve the disrupted endocrine environment and induce a spontaneous recovery of ovulation and pregnancy in females with PCOS, who have no other combined infertility problem (4). Several studies have suggested that immature oocyte retrieval followed by IVM is a successful treatment

Table 1. Comparison of Clinical and Outcome Variables Between the Study Groups

Variables	IVM	IVF	P Value
Chemical pregnancy, n (%)	1 (5%)	7 (31.8%)	0.027 ^a
Clinical pregnancy, n(%)	0	6 (27.3%)	0.012 ^a
Number of follicle > 9 mm	5.4 ± 3.56	11.68 ± 8.35	0.003 ^d
Conception rate	2.19 ± 1.27	6.95 ± 4.86	< 0.001 ^d
Quality of embryo A to B	7 (43.8%)	21 (95.5%)	< 0.001 ^a
On the day of transfer C to D	4 (25%)	7 (31.8%)	0.642 ^b
Endometrial thickness, mm	7.75 ± 0.9	10.32 ± 1.9	< 0.001 ^d
Three layers endometrium	15 (75%)	90.9%	0.167 ^b
At the time of embryo transfer OHSS ^c , n (%)	0	3 (13.6%)	0.233 ^b

^aDifference was significant between the 2 groups.

^bDifference was not significant between the 2 groups.

^cOvarian Hyper stimulation syndrome.

^dDifference was highly significant between the 2 groups.

for infertile females with PCOS (4,14,15). In the study conducted by Lin et al. (14), pregnancy was achieved in 33.8% of the females with PCOS using the mentioned method. In another study by Child et al. (15), implantation, pregnancy, and live birth rates per transfer were respectively 9.6%, 29.9%, and 14.9% for females with PCOS that had undergone IVM. In the present study, we failed to achieve clinical pregnancy in females with PCOS using IVM and only one chemical pregnancy occurred in this group. Our findings showed that results of IVM in PCOS were not appropriate and pregnancy rates using IVF were significantly higher. In a similar study by Child et al. (11), the success rates of IVM and IVF were compared. In this case-control study, 107 IVM and 107 IVF cycles were evaluated. The number of mature oocytes and obtained embryos were significantly lower in the IVM group compared to IVF. The IVM and live birth rates per retrieval were 26.2% and 15.9% compared with 38.3% and 26.2% for IVF ($P < 0.05$). Also, a significantly higher rate of implantation was seen in IVF derived embryos ($P < 0.05$). The rate of pregnancy in both IVF and IVM techniques was lower in our study. In brief, it seems that IVM is less effective than conventional IVF (8). In IVF, up to 59% of delivery rates have been achieved after fresh and subsequent frozen embryo transfers (16), whereas in IVM, delivery rates of 13% to 15% have been achieved (17, 18). In some studies, the lower rates of pregnancy were attributed to lower quality of endometrium for implantation (19), which may be critical in assisted reproductive technology results (20). In the study by Child et al. (11), 12 cases (11.2%) of moderate or severe OHSS were observed in IVF patients while the syndrome did not occur in the IVM group, which was statistically significant. In our study, although we found a lower rate of

OHSS in the IVM group, yet, it was not statistically significant. Further studies with larger sample sizes are needed to determine the exact effects of IVM on the rate of OHSS. The effects of follicular stimulating hormone (FSH) priming on human IVM are controversial (21), although some researchers have shown beneficial effects (22). In the present study, we also did not find any beneficial effect with the use of FSH priming. Our findings showed that the total cost in IVM cycles was significantly lower than IVF. As no expensive hormones are required for IVM, the total cost of an IVM cycle is significantly lower than that of an IVF cycle (8). The present study was limited by small sample size due to obtaining data from past registered patients' medical files. In conclusion, our findings failed to show superiority of IVM compared to IVF in infertile females with PCOS regarding pregnancy rate. The results of our study revealed that IVM is cheaper than IVF and may be associated with lower OHSS compared to IVF. However, we suggest this technique as an alternative technique for IVF in infertile females with PCOS. Conducting further studies to compare outcome of IVM and IVF in infertile females with PCOS, particularly randomized controlled trials, is recommended.

Acknowledgments

This article was adopted from the specially degree thesis of Dr. Tahere Foroughifar in obstetrics and gynecology that was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (Tehran, Iran) and was conducted at Vali-Asr reproductive health research center and had no financial support.

Footnote

Conflict of interests: All authors have confirmed that they had no conflict of interest in this project.

References

1. Thessaloniki EAPCWG. Consensus on infertility treatment related to polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril.* 2008;**89**(3):505-22. doi: [10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.09.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.09.041). [PubMed: [18243179](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18243179/)].
2. Norman RJ, Dewailly D, Legro RS, Hickey TE. Polycystic ovary syndrome. *Lancet.* 2007;**370**(9588):685-97. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)61345-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61345-2). [PubMed: [17720020](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17720020/)].
3. Ehrmann DA. Polycystic ovary syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;**352**(12):1223-36. doi: [10.1056/NEJMra041536](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra041536). [PubMed: [15788499](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15788499/)].
4. Zhao JZ, Zhou W, Zhang W, Ge HS, Huang XF, Lin JJ. In vitro maturation and fertilization of oocytes from unstimulated ovaries in infertile women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril.* 2009;**91**(6):2568-71. doi: [10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.03.059](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.03.059). [PubMed: [18579137](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18579137/)].
5. Homburg R. Polycystic ovary syndrome. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 2008;**22**(2):261-74. doi: [10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2007.07.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2007.07.009). [PubMed: [17804299](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17804299/)].
6. Filali M, Hesters L, Fanchin R, Tachdjian G, Frydman R, Frydman N. Retrospective comparison of two media for invitro maturation of oocytes. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2008;**16**(2):250-6. doi: [10.1016/S1472-6483\(10\)60582-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1472-6483(10)60582-2). [PubMed: [18284882](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18284882/)].
7. Le Du A, Kadoch IJ, Bourcigaux N, Doumerc S, Bourrier MC, Chevalier N, et al. In vitro oocyte maturation for the treatment of infertility associated with polycystic ovarian syndrome: the French experience. *Hum Reprod.* 2005;**20**(2):420-4. doi: [10.1093/humrep/deh603](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deh603). [PubMed: [15528263](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15528263/)].
8. Suikkari AM, Soderstrom-Anttila V. In-vitro maturation of eggs: is it really useful?. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 2007;**21**(1):145-55. doi: [10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2006.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2006.09.003). [PubMed: [17291833](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17291833/)].
9. Cha KY, Koo JJ, Ko JJ, Choi DH, Han SY, Yoon TK. Pregnancy after in vitro fertilization of human follicular oocytes collected from nonstimulated cycles, their culture in vitro and their transfer in a donor oocyte program. *Fertil Steril.* 1991;**55**(1):109-13. [PubMed: [1986950](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1986950/)].
10. Trounson A, Wood C, Kausche A. In vitro maturation and the fertilization and developmental competence of oocytes recovered from untreated polycystic ovarian patients. *Fertil Steril.* 1994;**62**(2):353-62. doi: [10.1016/S0015-0282\(16\)56891-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282(16)56891-5). [PubMed: [8034085](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8034085/)].
11. Child TJ, Phillips SJ, Abdul-Jalil AK, Gulekli B, Tan SL. A comparison of in vitro maturation and in vitro fertilization for women with polycystic ovaries. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2002;**100**(4):665-70. doi: [10.1097/00006250-200210000-00009](https://doi.org/10.1097/00006250-200210000-00009). [PubMed: [12383531](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12383531/)].
12. Broekmans FJ, Knauff EA, Valkenburg O, Laven JS, Eijkemans MJ, Fauser BC. PCOS according to the Rotterdam consensus criteria: Change in prevalence among WHO-II anovulation and association with metabolic factors. *BJOG.* 2006;**113**(10):1210-7. doi: [10.1111/j.1471-0528.2006.01008.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2006.01008.x). [PubMed: [16972863](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16972863/)].
13. Cha KY, Lee DR, Cho JH, Yoon TK. In vitro maturation of immature oocytes and IVF/ICSI in PCOS patients. *J Indian Med Assoc.* 2006;**104**(8):446-448, 473. [PubMed: [17240801](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17240801/)].
14. Lin YH, Hwang JL, Huang LW, Mu SC, Seow KM, Chung J, et al. Combination of FSH priming and hCG priming for in-vitro maturation of human oocytes. *Hum Reprod.* 2003;**18**(8):1632-6. doi: [10.1093/humrep/deg335](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deg335). [PubMed: [12871873](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12871873/)].
15. Child TJ, Abdul-Jalil AK, Gulekli B, Tan SL. In vitro maturation and fertilization of oocytes from unstimulated normal ovaries, polycystic ovaries, and women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril.* 2001;**76**(5):936-42. doi: [10.1016/S0015-0282\(01\)02853-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282(01)02853-9). [PubMed: [11704114](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11704114/)].
16. Martikainen H, Tiitinen A, Tomas C, Tapanainen J, Orava M, Tuomivaara L, et al. One versus two embryo transfer after IVF and ICSI: a randomized study. *Hum Reprod.* 2001;**16**(9):1900-3. doi: [10.1093/humrep/16.9.1900](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/16.9.1900). [PubMed: [11527895](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11527895/)].
17. Cha KY, Chung HM, Lee DR, Kwon H, Chung MK, Park IS, et al. Obstetric outcome of patients with polycystic ovary syndrome treated by in vitro maturation and in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer. *Fertil Steril.* 2005;**83**(5):1461-5. doi: [10.1016/j.fertnstert.2004.11.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2004.11.044). [PubMed: [15866585](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15866585/)].
18. Soderstrom-Anttila V, Makinen S, Tuuri T, Suikkari AM. Favourable pregnancy results with insemination of in vitro matured oocytes from unstimulated patients. *Hum Reprod.* 2005;**20**(6):1534-40. doi: [10.1093/humrep/deh768](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deh768). [PubMed: [15695312](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15695312/)].
19. Trounson A, Anderiesz C, Jones G. Maturation of human oocytes in vitro and their developmental competence. *Reproduction.* 2001;**121**(1):51-75. doi: [10.1530/rep.0.1210051](https://doi.org/10.1530/rep.0.1210051). [PubMed: [11226029](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11226029/)].
20. Senturk LM, Erel CT. Thin endometrium in assisted reproductive technology. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;**20**(3):221-8. doi: [10.1097/GCO.0b013e328302143c](https://doi.org/10.1097/GCO.0b013e328302143c). [PubMed: [18460935](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18460935/)].
21. Lin YH, Hwang JL. In vitro maturation of human oocytes. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;**45**(2):95-9. doi: [10.1016/S1028-4559\(09\)60204-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1028-4559(09)60204-7). [PubMed: [17197347](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17197347/)].
22. Trounson A, Anderiesz C, Jones GM, Kausche A, Lolatgis N, Wood C. Oocyte maturation. *Hum Reprod.* 1998;**13 Suppl 3**:52-62. [PubMed: [9755414](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9755414/)] discussion 71-5.