

# Anatomical Sites and Characteristics of Endometriosis Lesions: Laparoscopic Investigation

Behnaz Nouri<sup>1</sup> , Malihe Arab<sup>2</sup> , Nazli Najeddin Choukan<sup>3\*</sup>

1. Preventative Gynecology Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
2. Department of Gynecology-Oncology, Imam Hossein Medical Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
3. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran



## Article Info

 [10.30699/jogcr.8.5.494](https://doi.org/10.30699/jogcr.8.5.494)

**Received:** 2023/02/03;

**Accepted:** 2023/07/02;

**Published Online:** 09 Sep 2023;

Use your device to scan and read the article online



## Corresponding Information:

**Nazli Najeddin Choukan,**

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology,  
Shahid Beheshti University of Medical  
Sciences, Tehran, Iran

**Email:** [Nazli\\_chogan@yahoo.com](mailto:Nazli_chogan@yahoo.com)

## ABSTRACT

**Background & Objective:** Endometriosis is a common and benign disease of the female genital system, which is often seen in reproductive age and leads to infertility, dysmenorrhea, and dyspareunia. The aim of this study is to investigate the anatomical location and characteristics of endometriosis lesions in laparoscopic surgery.

**Materials & Methods:** In this cross-sectional study, 557 endometriosis patients who referred to the gynecology department of Shohadaye-Tajrish Hospital and underwent laparoscopic surgery during 2016-2021 were evaluated. Statistical analysis of data was done using SPSS software version 24. P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant level.

**Results:** The results of this study show that the highest anatomical distribution of endometriosis lesions was ovarian endometriosis, and the lowest was vagina. Also, the highest rate of surface lesions is uterus and bladder, and the lowest is superficial lesions of the cul-de-sac cyst.

**Conclusion:** Our results demonstrate that the distribution of endometriosis lesions is asymmetric.

**Keywords:** Endometriosis, Laparoscopy, Anatomical Site, Lesion Distribution Characteristics of Endometriosis Lesions



Copyright © 2023, This is an original open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-noncommercial 4.0 International License which permits copy and redistribution of the material just in noncommercial usages with proper citation.

## Introduction

Endometriosis refers to the presence and growth of uterine tissue outside the uterine cavity, which is a chronic and complex disease that affects women of reproductive age (1-4). Endometriosis is related to infertility and chronic pelvic pain during the menstrual cycle and dyspareunia (3-8). About 176 million women suffer from endometriosis (3, 9, 10). The approximate prevalence of endometriosis in the Tehran province in 2013 was reported about 5-20% (3). Endometriosis significantly affects the quality of life (QoL) of females and their families, and burden of disease is the same as other chronic diseases (3, 11-14). One of the causes of infertility is endometriosis that affects about 25-50% of infertile women (5, 6, 15).

The cause and pathophysiology of endometriosis are unknown, however genetic and environmental factors may be involved (1, 16-18). In order to check the location, number, measurement and severity of endometriosis involvement, a detailed laparoscopy

evaluation is needed to consider the appropriate treatment method depending on the severity and location of the disease (3, 7). The purpose of this study was to investigate the sites and characteristics of endometriosis lesions in laparoscopic surgery in patients referred to Shohadaye- Tajrish Hospital.

## Methods

### Patients and study design

This cross-sectional study was performed on endometriosis patients that referred to the gynecology department at Shohadaye-Tajrish Hospital as a referral center in Tehran, Iran, between April 2016 and April 2022. Patients with endometriosis that underwent laparoscopic surgery enrolled in this study. The sampling method was convenient and according to the

following statistical formula, the sample size calculated 557 patients.

$$N = \frac{[P(1-P)] \times (Z_{1-\alpha/2})^2}{d^2}$$

Inclusion criteria in this study were: age at least 18 years, diagnosis of endometriosis and laparoscopic surgery. Information was collected based on a checklist. Also, for each surgery, laparoscopic videos of the patients were observed and evaluated, and the anatomical location of endometriosis was determined using the detailed examination of these videos. Demographic factors (age, weight, height), location of endometriosis lesion, type of lesion (superficial lesion, endometrioma, DIE), pelvic adhesion and laterality of endometriosis were investigated in these people.

The ethics was approved by the ethical committee of Shahid Beheshti University of medical sciences

(approval number IR.SBMU.RETECH.REC.1401.685).

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of the data was done using (spss software, version 24, IBM, USA). Statistical analysis of data was done using T-test for quantitative variables and square test for qualitative variables. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

Finally, 557 patients enrolled in this study and 66.7 % of women them were married. The average age of patients was  $33.35 \pm 7.51$  years (min:18 and max:49) and the average body mass index (BMI) was  $25.48 \pm 4.35$ . Fifteen patients (3.7%) had family history of endometriosis. [Table 1](#) shows descriptive characteristics of lesions.

**Table 1.** Descriptive characteristics of lesions

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
<b>Superficial and deep lesions</b>				
Deep Peritoneal lesions	10	60	34.62	12.052
Superficial Peritoneal lesions	20	70	33.33	19.664
Deep right ovarian lesions	10	10	10.00	-
Deep left ovarian lesions	10	10	10.00	-
Superficial right ovarian lesions	10	30	20.00	8.165
Superficial left ovarian lesions	10	30	17.78	6.667
<b>Ovarian adhesions</b>				
Left (Dense)	10	30	18.33	7.528
Left (Filmy)	10	20	16.67	5.774
Right (Dense)	10	30	17.86	6.986
Right (Filmy)	20	20	20.00	0.000
<b>Endometrioma size</b>				
Left endometrioma size	10	150	60.19	33.107
Right endometrioma size	20	150	57.78	36.064

Out of 557 patients, 82 (14.7%) had pelvic adhesions. [Table 2](#) shows the prevalence of lesions in patients. Among the patients who had pelvic adhesions, 46.3% (n = 38) they had no history of pelvic infection, while

53.6% (n = 44) had a history of pelvic infection, which according to chi-square test, there was no statistically significant difference (P-value=0.79). [Table 3](#) shows surgeries history for the patients under study.

**Table 2.** Prevalence of lesions in patients

	Frequency	Percent
<b>Deep lesions</b>		
Endometrioma	408	73.2
Left uterosacral	294	52.8
Right uterosacral	245	44.0

	Frequency	Percent
Rectum	158	28.4
Bladder	93	16.7
Left pelvic wall	43	7.7
Right pelvic wall	43	7.7
Right ureter	38	6.8
Left ureter	16	2.9
Sigmoid	38	6.8
Diaphragm	27	4.8
Parametrium	27	4.8
cul-de-sac	21	3.8
Vagina	6	1.1
<b>Superficial lesions</b>		
Uterine	49	8.8
Bladder	49	8.8
Cul-de-sac	6	1.1
<b>8.8 Endometriosis adhesions</b>		
No	491	88.2
Yes	66	11.8
<b>Müllerian duct anomalies</b>		
No	508	91.2
Yes	49	8.8
<b>Myoma</b>		
No	86	84.3
Subserous	16	15.7
<b>Non-endometrioma cysts</b>		
No	536	96.2
Yes	21	3.8
<b>Stage of endometriosis</b>		
Stage 1	26	4.7
Stage 2	38	6.8
Stage 3	67	12.0
Stage 4	426	76.5

**Table 3.** Surgeries history for the patients under study

Surgeries	Left side	Right side	Both side
Oophorectomy	55 (9.9)	33(5.9)	71(12.7)
Cystectomy	98 (17.6)	120 (21.5)	120 (21.5)
Reconstructed ovary	13(2.3)	6(1.1)	22 (3.9)
Fallopian tube occlusion	16 (2.9)	32 (5.7)	13(2.3)
Salpingectomy	59 (10.6)	22(3.9)	55 (9.9)

The relationship between the average age and BMI of the patients and the location of the lesion is shown in [Table 4](#). Independent t test shows there was a statistically significant difference in left uterosacral site

and left pelvic wall with age of patients. The independent t-test showed a significant difference between BMI and pelvic wall, ureter, uterosacral and endometrioma lesions.

**Table 4.** The relationship between the age and BMI of patients and location of lesions

Location of lesions	Yes	No	P-value
	Mean + SD Age	Mean + SD Age	
Uterine wall	37.67 + 7.81	32.94 + 7.4	0.071
Ureter	35.43 + 7.23	33.2 + 7.55	0.452
Uterosacrals	34.12 + 7.9	32.34 + 6.94	0.238
Endometrioma	32.75 + 6.69	35.04 + 9.4	0.176
Left Uterosacral	34.85+7.61	31.67+7.12	0.032
Right Uterosacral	33.44+7.67	33.28+7.46	0.914
Rectum	33.48 + 7.58	3.30 + 7.54	0.913
Bladder	32.76+8.98	33.47+7.25	0.726
Left uterine wall	38.5+7.91	32.91+7.36	0.043
Right uterine wall	37.13+8.17	33.03+7.42	0.140
Left ureter	32.67+6.66	33.37+7.57	0.873
Right ureter	35.43+7.23	33.2+7.55	0.452
Sigmoid	33.14+9.28	33.37+7.43	0.939
Parametrium	28.8+4.02	33.59+7.59	0.166
Location of lesions	Mean + SD BMI	Mean + SD BMI	P-value
Uterine wall	25.31 + 2.46	25.49 + 4.49	0.910
Ureter	24.69 + 3.63	25.54 + 4.42	0.620
Uterosacrals	25.48 + 4.96	25.48 + 3.44	0.998
Endometrioma	25.71 + 4.54	24.86 + 3.82	0.390
Left Uterosacral	25.81+4.91	25.1+3.64	0.421
Right Uterosacral	25.42+5.31	25.53+3.47	0.906
Rectum	26.70 + 5.71	25.01 + 3.65	0.082
Bladder	24.5+3.95	25.68+4.43	0.309
Left uterine wall	25.27+2.66	25.5+4.47	0.896
Right uterine wall	25.07+2.56	25.51+4.47	0.798
Left ureter	26.47+3.72	25.45+4.39	0.693

## Discussion

In a study by Audebert et al. it was shown that the highest prevalence of endometriosis was in the ovary, uterosacral ligament, ovarian cavity, posterior cul-de-sac, and bladder, respectively). Also, the most common

site of endometriosis was on the left side of the pelvis. The results of this study showed that the most adhesion is in the adnexa of patients. In this survey, average age of the patients was 33.6 years and average BMI of the

patients was 21.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Also, the most common site of involvement of deep endometriosis was reported in the ureter in 159 patients (14.4%) (19).

In another study shown by Ajani et al. (2019), the average age of the patients was 35 years, and the most common site of endometriosis lesions was the ovary (bilateral in 28.8% of cases) and fallopian tubes (20). Also, in a study conducted by Yasui and et al., (2015), they examined 210 patients who underwent surgery. It was shown that the prevalence site of endometriosis was ovary, peritoneum, rectum and bladder, respectively (21).

Also, in a study by Jenkins et al. (2008), it was shown that ovarian and posterior broad ligament lesions were more common and anterior cul-de-sac lesions were significantly more than posterior cul-de-sac lesions (22).

In the study of Kadivar et al. in 2013, it was shown that the frequency of extrapelvic endometriosis was 48 cases (14.8%) and 40 cases of abdominal wall endometriosis. Also, abdominal wall endometriosis was observed in 12.3% of endometriosis cases (23). In this study, the frequency of endometriosis was 11.7% cases in the cesarean scar. Also, the results showed that the history of cesarean delivery in patients with abdominal wall endometriosis was more than in other patients with endometriosis (23). In a study conducted by Lee., it was shown that the prevalence of endometriosis in the ovary was (96.4%) (24).

## Conclusion

Based on our finding and some other studies, demonstrate that the distribution of endometriosis lesions is asymmetric. The results of this study show that the anatomical distribution of endometrioma lesions in this study has the highest percentage related

to ovarian endometrioma and the lowest percentage related to vagina. Most of the anatomical distribution after ovarian endometrioma is related to the left uterosacral, right uterosacral, rectum, bladder, uterus and appendix. Also, the highest rate of surface lesions is related to the uterus and bladder, and the lowest is related to the superficial lesions of the cul-de-sac.

## Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank the women who participated in the present study. We are also grateful to Deputy for Research of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences who supported us in this project.

## Authors' Contribution

All authors had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Concept and design: BN, MA and NNC. Drafting of the manuscript: NNC, MA and BN. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors. Statistical analysis: NNC. Supervision: BN.

## Funding/Support

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial or non-financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript.

## References

- Zondervan KT, Becker CM, Koga K, Missmer SA, Taylor RN, Viganò P. Endometriosis. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2018;4(1):9. [DOI:10.1038/s41572-018-0008-5] [PMID]
- Dahaghin S, Hosseini Doust R, Mirnejad R. Molecular Detection of Ureaplasma urealyticum and Chlamydia trachomatis in Women with Endometriosis. *Iran J Med Microbiol*. 2019;13(2):125-31. [DOI:10.30699/ijmm.13.2.125]
- Naji Omid F, Abolghasemi J, Chaichian S, Rimaz S, Najmi Z, Mehdizadehkashi A. Evaluation of the factors influencing endometriosis in reproductive age women. *Med Sci J Islamic Azad Univ*. 2016;26(3):188-94.
- Hoorsan H, Mirmiran P, Chaichian S, Moradi Y, Akhlaghdoust M, Hoorsan R, et al. Diet and Risk of Endometriosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Study. *Iran Red Crescent Med J*. 2017;19(9): e41248. [DOI:10.5812/ircmj.41248]
- Moazzami B, Chaichian S, Samie S, Zolbin MM, Jesmi F, Akhlaghdoust M, et al. Does endometriosis increase susceptibility to COVID-19 infections? A case-control study in women of reproductive age. *BMC Women's Health*. 2021; 21(1):1-7. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1186/s12905-021-01270-z]
- Sarbazi F, Akbari E, Karimi A, Nouri B, Noori Ardebili SH. The Clinical Outcome of Laparoscopic Surgery for Endometriosis on Pain, Ovarian Reserve, and Cancer Antigen 125 (CA-125): A Cohort Study. *Int J Fertil Steril*. 2021; 15(4):275-9.

7. Hoorsan H, Alavi Majd H, Chaichian S, Mehdizadehkashi A, Hoorsan R, Akhlaqhdoust M, et al. Maternal Anthropometric Characteristics and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes in Iranian Women: A Confirmation Analysis. *Arch Iran Med.* 2018;21(2):61-6.
8. Sarbazi F, Akbari E, Nouri B. Pain Management in Endometriosis. *Interv Pain Med Neuromod.* 2022;2(1):e128043. [DOI:10.5812/ipmn-128043]
9. Nouri B, Roshandel S. Is Artificial Intelligence a New Diagnostic Approach for Patients with Endometriosis? *Interv Pain Med Neuromod.* 2022;2(1):e128720. [DOI:10.5812/ipmn-128720]
10. Nouri B, Arab M, nasiri m. Endometriosis: Clinical, Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Pathologic Findings. *J Obstet Gynecol Cancer Res.* 2022(14):61.
11. Davoodi P, J. Ghadimi D, Rezaei M, Khazei Tabari MA, Shirani A, Nouri B, et al. Endometriosis and COVID-19: Clinical Presentation and Quality of Life, a Systematic Review. *J Obstet Gynecol Cancer Res.* 2023; 8(4):314-25.
12. Morgan-Ortiz F, López-de la Torre MA, López-Zepeda MA, Morgan-Ruiz FV, Ortiz-Bojórquez JC, Bolívar-Rodríguez MA. Clinical characteristics and location of lesions in patients with deep infiltrating endometriosis using the revised Enzian classification. *J Turk Ger Gynecol Assoc.* 2019;20(3):133-7. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.4274/jtgga.galenos.2018.2018.0120]
13. Angioni S, Nappi L, Sorrentino F, Peiretti M, Daniilidis A, Pontis A, et al. Laparoscopic treatment of deep endometriosis with a diode laser: our experience. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2021;304(5):1221-31. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1007/s00404-021-06154-z]
14. Agarwal SK, Chapron C, Giudice LC, Laufer MR, Leyland N, Missmer SA, et al. Clinical diagnosis of endometriosis: a call to action. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2019;220(4):354-e1-12. [DOI:10.1016/j.ajog.2018.12.039] [PMID]
15. Gui B, Valentini AL, Ninivaggi V, Marino M, Iacobucci M, Bonomo L. Deep pelvic endometriosis: don't forget round ligaments. Review of anatomy, clinical characteristics, and MR imaging features. *Abdom Imaging.* 2014; 39(3):622-32. [PMID] [DOI:10.1007/s00261-014-0091-3]
16. Albee RB, Sinervo K, Fisher DT. Laparoscopic Excision of Lesions Suggestive of Endometriosis or Otherwise Atypical in Appearance: Relationship Between Visual Findings and Final Histologic Diagnosis. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2008;15(1):32-7. [DOI:10.1016/j.jmig.2007.08.619] [PMID]
17. Foti PV, Farina R, Palmucci S, Vizzini IAA, Libertini N, Coronella M, et al. Endometriosis: clinical features, MR imaging findings and pathologic correlation. *Insights into Imaging.* 2018;9(2):149-72. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1007/s13244-017-0591-0]
18. Di Giovanni A, Casarella L, Coppola M, Falcone F, Iuzzolino D, Rasile M, et al. Ultrasound Evaluation of Retrocervical and Parametrial Deep Endometriosis on the Basis of Surgical Anatomic Landmarks. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2022;29(10):1140-8. [DOI:10.1016/j.jmig.2022.06.014] [PMID]
19. Audebert A, Petousis S, Margioulas-Siarkou C, Ravanos K, Prapas N, Prapas Y. Anatomic distribution of endometriosis: A reappraisal based on series of 1101 patients. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2018;230:36-40. [DOI:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2018.09.001] [PMID]
20. Ajani MA, Salami A, Nwanji ID, Olusanya AA, Fatunla OE. Distribution and Characteristics of Endometriotic Lesions in South-Western Nigeria: A Single Institutional Experience. *Med J Zamb.* 2019;46(1):28-32. [DOI:10.55320/mjz.46.1.227]
21. Yasui T, Hayashi K, Nagai K, Mizunuma H, Kubota T, Lee J-S, et al. Risk Profiles for Endometriosis in Japanese Women: Results From a Repeated Survey of Self-Reports. *J Epidemiol.* 2015;25(3):194-203. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.2188/jea.JE20140124]
22. Jenkins TR, Liu CY, White J. Does Response to Hormonal Therapy Predict Presence or Absence of Endometriosis? *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2008;15(1):82-6. [DOI:10.1016/j.jmig.2007.09.002] [PMID]
23. Kadivar M, Vafa A, Farahzadi A, Khani S. 6 years evaluation of prevalence of abdominal wall endometriosis in patients with definite histopathological diagnosis of endometriosis admitted in Rasool-Akram, Shariati and Atieh Hospitals in Tehran. *Razi J Med Sci.* 2012; 18(93):20-6.
24. Lee SY, Koo YJ, Lee DH. Classification of endometriosis. *Yeungnam Univ J Med.* 2021; 38(1):10-8. [DOI:10.12701/yujm.2020.00444] [PMID]

**How to Cite This Article:**

Nouri, B., Arab, M., Najeddin Choukan, N. Anatomical Sites and Characteristics of Endometriosis Lesions: Laparoscopic Investigation. J Obstet Gynecol Cancer Res. 2023; 8(5):494-500.

**Download citation:**

[RIS](#) | [EndNote](#) | [Mendeley](#) | [BibTeX](#) |